

# REALIZATION OF ABSTRACT CONVEX GEOMETRIES BY POINT CONFIGURATIONS

KIRA ADARICHEVA AND MARCEL WILD

A closure space  $(J, -)$  is called a *convex geometry* (see, for example, [1]), if it satisfies the *anti-exchange axiom*, i.e.

$$x \in \overline{A \cup \{y\}} \text{ and } x \notin A \text{ imply that } y \notin \overline{A \cup \{x\}} \\ \text{for all } x \neq y \text{ in } J \text{ and all closed } A \subseteq J.$$

Given a closure space, one can associate with it the lattice of closed sets  $\text{Cl}(J, -)$ . It is well known that the lattice of closed sets of a *finite* convex geometry is join-semidistributive. The latter property is defined by

$$(\forall x, y, z \in L) \quad (x \vee y = x \vee z \Rightarrow x \vee y = x \vee (y \wedge z))$$

The following classical example of convex geometries shows how they earned their name. Given a set of points  $X$  in Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , one defines a closure operator on  $X$  as follows: for any  $Y \subseteq X$ ,  $\bar{Y} = \text{convex hull}(Y) \cap X$ . One easily verifies that such an operator satisfies the anti-exchange axiom. Thus,  $(X, -)$  is a convex geometry. Denote by  $\text{Co}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$  the closure lattice of this closure space, namely, the lattice of convex sets relative to  $X$ .

The current work was motivated by the following problem raised in [1]: *which lattices can be embedded into  $\text{Co}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$  for some  $n \in \omega$  and some finite  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ ? Is this the class of all finite join-semidistributive lattices?*

On the way to answer the above questions, one can address the associated problem raised in [2], and known as the

**Edelman – Jamison Problem** : *Characterize those finite convex geometries that are realizable as  $\text{Co}(\mathbb{R}^n, X)$ .*

In the current paper we restrict ourselves to the case of  $n = 2$  and point configurations in *general position*, i.e. where no 3 different points belong to one line. We formulate the hypothesis that a finite convex geometry is realizable by a point configuration on a plane, if two properties of very lucid geometrical nature hold: the so-called *splitting rule* and the *carousel rule*.

In one of major results of the paper we prove the hypothesis for all point configurations that have at most 2 points inside the  $n$ -gon. This extends the description of  $\text{Co}(\mathbb{R}^2, X)$  for the point configurations  $X$  that have one point inside a  $n$ -gon, given in [3]. We also confirm the hypothesis for all 6-point configurations on the plane.

In another part of our paper we discuss the connection between the Edelman-Jamison Problem and the Order Type Problem.

Following [4], call  $t : J[3] \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$  an *order type on  $J$* , if there is a function  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for all  $(a, b, c)$  in  $J[3]$  one has

$$t(a, b, c) = \text{sign}(f(a), f(b), f(c))$$

The point configuration  $X = f(J)$  is then said to *realize* the order type  $t$ . In brief,  $t$  is an order type, if it represents the orientation of triples of some suitable point configuration.

**The Order Type Problem :** *Given any function  $t : J[3] \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$ , recognize whether it is an order type and, if it is, find some realizing point configuration.*

It is known that the Order Type Problem is NP-hard: that follows from the famous Mnëv's Universality Theorem [5]. We investigate whether the Order Type Problem can be polynomially reduced to Edelman-Jamison Problem.

It turns out that each order-type  $t$  generates a unique convex geometry  $C(t)$ , associated with its point realization. On the other hand, a realizable convex geometry  $C$  may have many realizations whose order-types are non-equivalent. The set of such non-equivalent order-types is denoted  $Order-Types(C)$ .

We build a series of examples of convex geometries  $L_p$  to demonstrate the following:

**Corollary 0.1.** *The growth of  $|Order-Types(L_p)|$  of convex geometries  $L_p$  of size  $\mathcal{O}(p)$  cannot be  $p$ -polynomially bounded.*

This does not allow to straightforwardly reduce the Order-type Problem to Edelman-Jamison Problem.

On the positive side, we introduce the natural notion of a *simple* convex geometry, for which we can prove:

**Theorem 0.2.** *Given natural number  $l$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(l)$  be the class of all finite simple convex geometries of depth  $\leq l$ , and let  $\mathcal{J}(l)$  be the class of all candidate order-types whose unique associated convex geometry is in  $\mathcal{C}(l)$ . Then the polynomial time decidability of the realizability of  $t \in \mathcal{J}(l)$  is equivalent to the polynomial time decidability of the realizability of  $(J, -) \in \mathcal{C}(l)$ .*

Here, the *depth* of the convex geometry indicates the number of its *layers*. The first *outside* layer  $L_1$  of geometry  $C = (J, -)$  is just a set of its *extreme* points. Considering the restriction of closure operator to  $J \setminus L_1$ , one obtains a convex geometry  $C_1$ , whose set of extreme points is the second layer  $L_2$  of  $C$  etc.

Two points  $x, y$  of the same layer are called *equivalent*, if  $z \in \overline{\{x, u, v\}}$  iff  $z \in \overline{\{y, u, v\}}$ , for any  $u, v$  from the same layer. The geometry is called *simple*, if all its layers, except innermost, do not have equivalent points.

A *candidate order type* is a function  $t : J[3] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  for which an associated convex geometry can be defined. We show that it can be decided in polynomial time whether a given function  $t$  is a candidate order type. Besides, it can be checked in polynomial time, whether a given convex geometry is simple.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K.V. Adaricheva, V.A. Gorbunov, and V.I. Tumanov, *Join-semidistributive lattices and convex geometries*, Adv. Math., **173** (2003), 1–49.
- [2] P.H. Edelman and R. Jamison, *The theory of convex geometries*, Geom.Dedicata 19(1985), 247–274.
- [3] P. Edelman and D. Larman, *On characterizing collections arising from  $N$ -gons in the plane*, Geom. Dedicata 33(1990), 83–89.
- [4] J.E. Goodman and P. Pollack, *Multidimensional sorting*, SIAM J.Computing 12(1983),484–503.
- [5] N.E. Mnëv, *The universality theorem on the classification problem of configuration varieties and convex polytopes varieties*, in Topology and Geometry–Rohlin Seminar (O.Ya.Viro, editor), v.1346 of Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 527–544.